

**Rapid divergence of the copulation proteins in the *Drosophila dunnii* group is associated with hybrid post-mating-prezygotic incompatibilities**

Tom Hill<sup>1\*</sup>, Hazel-Lynn Rosales-Stephens<sup>1</sup>, Robert L. Unckless<sup>1</sup>

1. 4055 Haworth Hall, The Department of Molecular Biosciences, University of Kansas, 1200 Sunnyside Avenue, Lawrence, KS 66045.

\* Corresponding author - Email: [tom.hill@ku.edu](mailto:tom.hill@ku.edu)

## 1 **Abstract**

2 Proteins involved in post-copulatory interactions between males and females are among the fastest evolving  
3 genes in many species and this has been attributed to reproductive conflict. Likely as a result, these proteins  
4 are frequently involved in cases of post-mating-prezygotic isolation between species. The *Drosophila dunni*  
5 subgroup consists of a dozen recently diverged species found across the Caribbean islands with varying  
6 levels of hybrid incompatibility. We sought to examine how post-mating-prezygotic factors are involved in  
7 isolation among members of this species group. We performed experimental crosses between species in the  
8 *dunni* group and find evidence of hybrid inviability. We also find an insemination reaction-like response  
9 preventing egg laying and leading to reduced female survival post-mating. To identify that genes may be  
10 involved in these incompatibilities, we sequenced and assembled the genomes of four species in the *dunni*  
11 subgroup and looked for signals of rapid evolution between species. Despite low levels of divergence, we  
12 found evidence of rapid evolution and divergence of some reproductive proteins, specifically the seminal  
13 fluid proteins. This suggests post-mating-prezygotic isolation as a barrier for gene flow between even the  
14 most closely related species in this group and seminal fluid proteins as a possible culprit.

## 15 **Introduction**

16 Numerous groups of recently diverged species have been used to study speciation across multicellular taxa  
17 (COYNE AND ORR 1989; MCKINNON AND RUNDLE 2002; GLOR *et al.* 2005; KITANO *et al.* 2009; BREKKE  
18 AND GOOD 2014). These and other studies find an array of complex relationships between species caused  
19 by varying levels of divergence across genomes, incomplete isolation and differing forms of reinforcement  
20 (COYNE AND ORR 2004; ORR 2004; PRESGRAVES 2007; MATUTE *et al.* 2010; MOYLE AND NAKAZATO  
21 2010; ORR *et al.* 2013; PAYSEUR AND RIESEBERG 2016). Recently diverged species with incomplete  
22 reproductive barriers prove to be more useful for understanding how new species can evolve (COYNE AND  
23 ORR 1989; GOURBIÈRE AND MALLET 2010; PRESGRAVES 2010). These species groups can be used in QTL  
24 studies to identify loci which contribute to the reduced fitness of hybrids (HOWARD *et al.* 2002; NOOR *et*  
25 *al.* 2007; KITANO *et al.* 2009), or to identify genes which may be involved in the early stages of speciation,  
26 such as those causing inviability or sterility in the heterogametic sex (a phenomenon known as Haldane's  
27 Rule) (HALDANE 1922; COYNE AND ORR 1989; ORR 1995; GAVRILETS AND WAXMAN 2002; COYNE AND  
28 ORR 2004; ORR 2013).

29 Several studies have also highlighted that proteins transmitted in the seminal fluid to the female  
30 reproductive tract may also drive isolation as a post-mating-prezygotic mating barrier for incompletely  
31 separated species, either caused by, or resulting in, reinforcement (GAVRILETS AND WAXMAN 2002; COYNE  
32 AND ORR 2004; ANDRES *et al.* 2008; GOURBIÈRE AND MALLET 2010; LARSON *et al.* 2012; LARSON *et al.*  
33 2013; AHMED-BRAIMAH 2016; TURISSINI *et al.* 2017; MATUTE *et al.* 2020). Barriers to hybridization have

34 also been examined in different *Drosophila* species groups, finding varying levels of divergence, and in  
35 some cases the mechanisms for isolation between species (PATTERSON 1947; GRANT 1983; COYNE AND  
36 ORR 1989; PRESGRAVES 2007; MILLER *et al.* 2010; MATUTE AND AYROLES 2014; AHMED-BRAIMAH  
37 2016; TURISSINI *et al.* 2017; MATUTE *et al.* 2020). Some studies, focusing on the effects of heterospecific  
38 matings on females, have found drastic changes in the females, including the swelling of the reproductive  
39 tract (PATTERSON 1947) and the activation of stress response pathways (AHMED-BRAIMAH *et al.* 2020),  
40 likely due to antagonistic interactions between male seminal fluid proteins that the heterospecific female  
41 tract (KNOWLES AND MARKOW 2001). These responses likely result in reinforcement of diverging  
42 reproductive behavior to prevent such matings (COYNE AND ORR 2004; TURISSINI *et al.* 2017).

43 The *Drosophila dunni* subgroup is found within the *cardini* group in the *Drosophila* subgenus  
44 (Supplementary Figure 1) (HEED 1962). This species group diverged across the Caribbean islands  
45 thousands of years ago creating endemic populations, each on a different island or set of islands (HEED  
46 1962; HOLLOCHER *et al.* 2000; WILDER AND HOLLOCHER 2003). Despite their extended isolation from  
47 each other, species are still able to hybridize (to varying levels of success) and are a useful species group  
48 for understanding several traits, such as the evolution of pigmentation or reproductive isolation (STALKER  
49 AND STREISINGER 1953; PATTERSON 1954; HOLLOCHER *et al.* 2000; WILDER AND HOLLOCHER 2003). In  
50 some cases, these hybrid offspring show evidence of Haldane's rule (HALDANE 1922; ORR 2013), with  
51 crosses producing only female offspring, or sterile male offspring (HEED 1962).

52 Here we perform experimental crosses in the *dunni* group and find that in some crosses,  
53 heterospecific matings reduces female survival compared to conspecific matings, potentially caused by an  
54 insemination reaction-like effect (PATTERSON 1947). Using a combination of long-read and short-read  
55 sequencing, we assembled the genomes of four species in the *dunni* group to identify proteins driving this  
56 incompatibility. We find these genomes are of similar quality and composition as other higher quality  
57 genomes in the *Drosophila* subgenus (ZHOU *et al.* 2012; ZHOU AND BACHTROG 2015; GRAMATES *et al.*  
58 2017; HILL *et al.* 2019). We also estimate rates of evolution across these genomes and identify several  
59 pathways of groups of genes of interest diverging between species (particularly between *D. nigrodunni* and  
60 *D. arawakana*), such as a divergence in immune pathways and in seminal fluid proteins.

## 61 **Materials and Methods**

### 62 *Drosophila* stocks, experimental crosses and survival assays

63 We obtained stocks for *Drosophila arawakana* (stock number: 15182-2260.00), *D. dunni* (stock number:  
64 15182-2291.00), *D. nigrodunni* (stock number: 15182-2311.00) and *D. similis* (stock number: 15182-  
65 2321.00) from the Cornell *Drosophila* species stock center. Each species was maintained on standard  
66 instant fly food (Formula 4-24, Carolina Biological Supply Company, Burlington, NC) in an incubator at

67 23°C. Before experiments, we inbred for three generations. Specifically, we established 10 single fly  
68 crosses for each species and chose a single successful cross per generation. We then repeated this for three  
69 generations. We then randomly chose one inbred vial to work with for the remainder of the experiments  
70 described.

#### 71 *Experimental crosses within and between species*

72 We performed initial crosses in all pairwise combinations of species, for both directions of the cross, as  
73 well as within species crosses, to confirm previous assessments of between species viability (HEED 1962;  
74 WILDER AND HOLLOCHER 2003).

75 For each species we cleared vials of adults at 9:00AM central time and collected any emerged  
76 adults in 3-hour intervals following this, separating by sex. We then used these virgin flies to mate all  
77 species in pairwise combinations in 3 replicates. For each replicate we mated 10 males with 10 females (all  
78 aged 2-3 days) for 5 days (WILDER AND HOLLOCHER 2003; CENZI DE RÉ *et al.* 2010). We then collecting  
79 offspring every day for 30 days following the removal of the parents. After aging virgin F1 offspring for 3  
80 days, we separated these into groups of 10 flies of the same parental species and mated with 10 flies of the  
81 opposite sex (5 the paternal species, 5 the maternal species) to assess the fertility of the F1 flies. As *D.*  
82 *arawakana* appeared to be infected with *Wolbachia*, we sought to cure all species of any bacteria which  
83 may affect crosses. We created sublines of each species raised on food containing tetracycline-  
84 hydrochloride (0.05mg/ml) for three generations. Following this, we extracted DNA from females of each  
85 strain and tested for *Wolbachia* using PCR (wsp-81F (5'-TGGTCCAATAAGTGATGAAGAAAC-3'),  
86 wsp-691R (5'-AAAAATTAACGCTACTCCA-3'), producing a ~600bp product from 10uL reactions,  
87 under the following cycling conditions: 94°C for 4 min, followed by 30 cycles of 40 s at 94°C, 40 s at 55°C,  
88 1 min at 72°C and a final extension step of 10 min at 72°C) (ZHOU *et al.* 1998). We then repeated  
89 experimental crosses, as described above, with the tetracycline cured strains.

90 We assayed female survival for *D. arawakana*, *D. dunnii*, *D. nigrodunnii* and *D. similis* in virgins  
91 and following mating, in both uncured and tetracycline cured flies. We considered a cross to be conspecific  
92 if we mated within species and a cross to be heterospecific if we mated with the most closely related species  
93 where fertile hybrids were found in previous crosses (e.g. *D. dunnii* to *D. similis* and *D. arawakana* to *D.*  
94 *nigrodunnii*). For these crosses we established 5-15 vials of 10 males and 10 females of the given species  
95 (with no males when measuring virgin females), all aged 2-3 days. We then recorded the survival of females  
96 every day (checking at 10AM Central time) for 30 days, flipping the flies onto new food every 3-4 days  
97 and removing males after the first 5 days. We then fit a survival curve across the total data for each cross  
98 type using SurvMiner (KASSAMBARA *et al.* 2017) in R (R-CORE-TEAM 2013) and used a Cox's Hazard  
99 Ratio to identify significant differences in survival between sets of crosses. For the initial crosses we used  
100 the following model:

101 *Survival (days post mating) ~ Female species \* Male species (if any) + vial*

102 We set the reference level as the conspecific cross (e.g. *D. arawakana* ♂ x *D. arawakana* ♀) and looked  
103 for significant differences from these for interaction terms to determine if unmated females (e.g. *D.*  
104 *arawakana* ♀ not mated) or heterospecifically crossed females (e.g. *D. arawakana* ♂ x *D. nigrodunni* ♀)  
105 show significant differences from the conspecific cross. To consider the effect of *Wolbachia* infection on  
106 these crosses, we repeated these initial crosses alongside the same crosses with *Wolbachia* cured flies (cured  
107 as described above) and a Cox's Hazard Ratio was used to determine the effect of *Wolbachia* on survival,  
108 and to test for differences in survival between sets of crosses after accounting for *Wolbachia*:

109 *Survival (days post mating) ~ Female species \* Male species (if any) + Wolbachia infection*  
110 *+ vial*

111 *Post-mating dissection of the female reproductive tract*

112 We collected virgin males and females for tetracycline-cured *D. arawakana* and *D. nigrodunni* as described  
113 above and aged them 2-3 days. We then established conspecific and heterospecific experimental crosses  
114 for 6 replicates of 10 males and 10 females at 10AM central time, as well as virgin control females for 6  
115 replicates of 10 females. Following 24 hours of cohabitation, for 3 replicates of each cross, we separated  
116 the females for each cross and dissected the reproductive tract. Based on previous work describing the  
117 insemination reaction (PATTERSON 1947; GRANT 1983; MARKOW AND ANKNEY 1988), we scored the  
118 reproductive tract for each female, identifying if the female had mated (by the presence of sperm), if the  
119 reproductive tract appeared to be swollen (relative to the unmated virgin females) or if the reproductive  
120 tract was destroyed or damaged (alongside a swollen tract, if possible to tell). We repeated this scoring for  
121 the remaining 3 replicates of each cross 24 hours later (48 hours total). We then compared conspecific and  
122 heterospecific crosses for rates of mating and rates of insemination reaction occurrence.

123 *Genome sequencing, assembly and annotation*

124 We extracted DNA following the protocol described in (Chakraborty et al. 2017) for *D. arawakana*,  
125 *D. dunni*, *D. nigrodunni* and *D. similis* females. We prepared the *D. dunni* and *D. nigrodunni* DNA as a  
126 sequencing library using the Oxford Nanopore Technologies Rapid 48-hour (SQK-RAD002) protocol,  
127 which we then sequenced separately using a MinION (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK) (JAIN  
128 et al. 2016) (Supplementary Table 1). We also prepared the *D. arawakana*, *D. dunni*, *D. nigrodunni* and *D.*  
129 *similis* samples as Illumina libraries with a 300bp insert size which we sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq4000  
130 to produce 150bp paired-end reads (Supplementary Table 1). We removed Illumina adapters using Sickle  
131 (JOSHI AND FASS 2011) and trimmed the Illumina sequences using Scythe (BUFFALO 2018). For the two  
132 MinION genomes, bases were called *post hoc* using the built in read\_fast5\_basecaller.exe program with  
133 options: -f FLO-MIN106 -k SQK-RAD002 -r-t 4. For *D. dunni*, raw reads were assembled using

134 Minimap2 and Miniasm (parameters: -x ava -o nt -t 8) (LI 2016). We then polished using Racon with Oxford  
135 Nanopore Technology reads for three iterations and Pilon with Illumina fragment library reads for three  
136 iterations (WALKER *et al.* 2014). For the *D. nigrodunni* genome, we first used wtdbg2 to assemble the  
137 genome (parameters: -t 4 -L 1000) (RUAN AND LI 2020). We then created a second assembly using  
138 Minimap2. For each, we ran Racon and Pilon for three iterations as described for *D. dunni*, then merged  
139 the two *D. nigrodunni* assemblies using Quickmerge (LIU AND YANG 2013). Following this, we polished  
140 this merged genome using Pilon for four more iterations. Both assemblies were benchmarked using BUSCO  
141 (v 3.0.2) and the *Diptera* database (SIMÃO *et al.* 2015).

142 For *D. similis*, we mapped data to the *D. dunni* genome before Pilon polishing and polished the *D.*  
143 *dunni* genome using *D. similis* data in Pilon for three iterations, to insert *D. similis* variants into the genome.  
144 Following this we mapped *D. similis* data to this genome using BWA (LI AND DURBIN 2009) and SAMtools  
145 (LI *et al.* 2009), and called variants using Picard (BROAD-INSTITUTE 2017) and GATK Haplotypecaller  
146 (MCKENNA *et al.* 2010; DEPRISTO *et al.* 2011). We then used BCFtools (NARASIMHAN *et al.* 2016) to filter  
147 these variants, removing calls below a quality threshold of 200 and inserted them into the polished genome.  
148 This was repeated for two more iterations to create a *D. similis* alternate genome. The same pipeline was  
149 followed for *D. arawakana* mapped to the *D. nigrodunni* genome.

150 We used the *D. innubila* transcriptome (HILL *et al.* 2019) as well as protein databases from *D.*  
151 *innubila*, *D. virilis*, *D. melanogaster*, and *M. domestica* in MAKER2 (HOLT AND YANDELL 2011) to  
152 annotate each genome, including using *RepeatModeler* (SMIT AND HUBLEY 2008) in an attempt to correctly  
153 assign repetitive regions and retraining a HMM using SNAP following each iteration (JOHNSON *et al.*  
154 2008). This was repeated for three iterations to generate a GFF file containing gene evidence generated by  
155 MAKER2 (HOLT AND YANDELL 2011).

156 Finally, we identified orthologous genomic regions pairwise for each of the four species examined  
157 here to each other and to the *D. innubila* genome using progressiveMauve (DARLING *et al.* 2004). We  
158 visualized orthologous regions using rCircos (ZHANG *et al.* 2013). We attempted to confirm any apparent  
159 structural differences based on progressiveMauve by mapping short reads for each species to a different  
160 genome and calling copy number differences using Delly (RAUSCH *et al.* 2012) and dudeML (HILL AND  
161 UNCKLESS 2019), taking the consensus of the two tools, but favoring the absence of a copy number variant  
162 when we found discrepancies between the two tools.

### 163 *Assessing the repetitive content across the dunni group*

164 For each genome, we identified the repetitive content *de novo* using RepeatModeler to call the repeats  
165 (engine = NCBI) (SMIT AND HUBLEY 2008) and RepeatMasker (-gff -gcalc -s) to identify the repetitive  
166 regions (SMIT AND HUBLEY 2013-2015). We also used dnaPipeTE (genome coverage = 1, sample number  
167 = 2, cpu = 4, genome size = 168000000) (GOUBERT *et al.* 2015) to identify the repetitive content in the

168 short-read data for each species, which we used to make a second map of reference genome repetitive  
169 regions using RepeatMasker. For both sets of repeat content assemblies we identified which TE families  
170 were shared between species and which were unique to species using blastn (e-value < 10e-5, hsp = 1,  
171 alignments = 1). We then identified what proportion of the genome each TE family constituted across  
172 species.

### 173 *Placing the dunni group in the Drosophila phylogeny*

174 To find the consensus species tree despite the differing evolutionary histories of different genes (MENDES  
175 AND HAHN 2016), we randomly sampled 100 genes conserved across *Drosophila* and humans from and  
176 extracted these from our four focal species, as well as from several other *Drosophila* species, taken from  
177 Flybase (GRAMATES *et al.* 2017) and the NCBI genomes database (ZHOU *et al.* 2012; HAMILTON *et al.*  
178 2014; PALMIERI *et al.* 2014; ZHOU AND BACHTROG 2015; KITTS *et al.* 2016; HILL *et al.* 2019). We then  
179 aligned each gene group separately using MAFFT (--auto) (KATOH *et al.* 2002) and created a multiple gene  
180 super-tree based on the consensus of each gene tree, following 100 bootstraps with PhyML (-b 100 -N 100  
181 -GTR -gamma 8) (LE AND GASCUEL 2008; GUINDON *et al.* 2010). We also generated gene trees for each  
182 of the 100 genes independently, following the same protocol. In this case 66 of the 100 trees gave the same  
183 topology of the *dunni* group as the total tree, while 7 trees had distinct topologies and 27 trees gave the  
184 topology of *D.similis* as an outgroup to the other three species, with *D. dunni* a sister to the *D. nigrodunni*-  
185 *D.arawakana* complex.

### 186 *Estimating rates of evolution across the dunni group*

187 For each gene in the genomes of our four focal species, we identified orthology to each other and to genes  
188 in *D. innubila* using blastp (e-value < 0.00001, hsp = 1 alignment = 1) (ALTSCHUL *et al.* 1990). For each  
189 set of orthologs, we aligned using PRANK to generate a codon alignment and gene-tree (LÖYTYNOJA  
190 2014), as subtle differences between the species tree and gene trees can result in false estimates of  
191 divergence (MENDES AND HAHN 2016). We then estimated rates of both non-synonymous and synonymous  
192 substitutions using codeML (YANG 2007), we estimated specific rates of evolution along each branch of  
193 the *dunni* group and leading into the *dunni* group using *D. innubila* as an outgroup (model 0) (YANG 2007).  
194 Specifically, we estimated synonymous divergence (dS), non-synonymous divergence (dN) and the  
195 proportion of the two values (dN/dS). Finally, we also estimated rates of evolution across the entire *dunni*  
196 group phylogeny using codeML (models 7 & 8) (YANG 2007), choosing the best fitting model using a  
197 likelihood ratio test (*p*-value < 0.05).

198 Using the estimated rates of evolution, we then compared the rates of evolution across the entire  
199 phylogeny and on specific branches to each species, for genes of similar levels of synonymous divergence  
200 (dS, windows of 0.001 dS, e.g. all genes within 0.001 dS of each other) we found the 97.5<sup>th</sup> upper percentile

201 for dN/dS. For the closely related species pairs (*D. nigrodunni* and *D. arawakana*, *D. dunni* and *D. similis*)  
202 we compared measures of dN/dS between species and found the 97.5<sup>th</sup> upper percentile for dN/dS per  
203 species per window of dN/dS for the paired species (0.001, sliding 0.001).

204 We then took outlier genes (e.g. genes above the 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile in each category) and looked for  
205 enrichments in gene ontology categories compared to non-outlier genes using GOrilla (EDEN *et al.* 2009).  
206 For GO categories of interest, such as those enriched for duplications or for high levels of dN/dS, we  
207 compared dN/dS of genes in these categories to the nearby genomic background. For each gene we  
208 extracted nearby genes (within 100kbp up or downstream on the same chromosome), of similar divergence  
209 levels on each branch (within 0.01 dS), we then found the difference in dN/dS between the median of the  
210 background genes and the focal gene. We then used a Wilcoxon-Rank Sum test to identify GO categories  
211 on each branch with significantly higher (or lower) dN/dS than the background.

212 Using the annotations of all species and *D. innubila*, we identified genes with more than one copy  
213 in one species, relative to all other species. We confirmed this by estimating copy numbers of genes in each  
214 species using short read information and dudeML (following the tutorial pipeline for N = 1) with the short  
215 read information mapped to the genome of the sister species (HILL AND UNCKLESS 2019). We then used  
216 GOrilla (EDEN *et al.* 2009) to identify Gene ontology categories that are enriched for duplicates on specific  
217 branches, which we confirmed using PANTHER (THOMAS *et al.* 2003).

## 218 *Statistics*

219 We used R for all statistics in this analysis (R-CORE-TEAM 2013), and ggplot2 for data visualization and  
220 figure production (WICKHAM 2009).


## 221 **Results**

### 222 *The Drosophila dunni group shows varying levels of hybrid compatibility*

223 The *Drosophila dunni* group is a species group endemic to islands in the Caribbean, with each island  
224 inhabited by a different complement of species (STALKER AND STREISINGER 1953; WILDER AND  
225 HOLLOCHER 2003; CENZI DE RÉ *et al.* 2010). These species have varying levels of hybrid incompatibilities,  
226 with some crosses producing viable offspring (e.g. *D. dunni* x *D. similis*) and others producing sterile  
227 offspring (e.g. *D. arawakhana* x *D. dunni*) or no offspring (e.g. *D. nigrodunni* x *D. similis*). In keeping with  
228 Haldane's rule (HALDANE 1922), some produce sterile males, or no males at all (Figure 1, Supplementary  
229 Table 2, e.g. *D. nigrodunni* x *D. arawakhana*). Despite divergence on levels comparable to the *D.*  
230 *melanogaster* subgroup (Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Table 3), there are no characterized  
231 inversions between species (STALKER AND STREISINGER 1953; CORDEIRO *et al.* 2014), allowing  
232 differences across the species group to be investigated with a higher resolution than the *D. melanogaster*  
233 group allows.



234 **Figure 1:** Mean number of offspring produced by three replicates of 10 females of each species when  
 235 crossed to males of different species. Points of the same color represent conspecific crosses while dots with  
 236 a different center represent a cross between two different species. Point shape shows the state of fertility of  
 237 F1 offspring, either both fertile, both sterile or only females fertile. Error bars show the standard deviation  
 238 of offspring count and sex ratio across replicates. *D. ara* = *D. arawakana*, *D. dun* = *D. dunni*, *D. nig* = *D.*  
 239 *nigrodunni*, *D. sim* = *D. similis*. While all we performed all pairwise heterospecific crosses, only crosses  
 240 which produced offspring are shown on the plot.



241  
 242 Given the variety in levels of divergence and isolation between species, we examined the differences in this  
 243 species group and identify patterns of divergence between species that could be associated with the  
 244 reproductive isolation. Our focus is on the two hybrid crosses which produce some compatible offspring,  
 245 such as with *D. nigrodunni* and *D. arawakana*, in which one direction of the heterospecific cross produces  
 246 only female offspring (Figure 1).


#### 247 *Drosophila arawakana* males reduce the lifespan of *D. nigrodunni* females

248 We next determined if there was evidence of further effects, beyond offspring viability (precopulatory,  
 249 prezygotic and postzygotic) on hybridization. To do this, we established crosses between species, focusing  
 250 on crosses that produced some fertile offspring (*D. nigrodunni* ♀ x *D. arawakana* ♂, *D. arawakana* ♀ x *D.*  
 251 *nigrodunni* ♂, *D. similis* ♀ x *D. dunni* ♂, *D. dunni* ♀ x *D. similis* ♂, Supplementary Table 2). We also

252 established matched crosses within species, and a matched control of virgin females. For each cross we  
253 recorded the survival of females following 5 days of mating.

254 In all cases, and consistent with studies in *D. melanogaster* (CHAPMAN et al. 1993; WIGBY AND  
255 CHAPMAN 2005), virgins generally survive longer than mated females, though not significantly in some  
256 cases (Figure 2, Cox Hazard Ratio z-value = 3.868,  $p$ -value = 0.00011). The heterospecific crosses showed  
257 no difference from the conspecific crosses for *D. similis* and *D. dunni* (Figure 2, Cox Hazard Ratio z-value  
258 = -0.488,  $p$ -value = 0.62545), though *D. similis* heterospecifically mated females lived longer than  
259 conspecifically mated females (Figure 2, Cox Hazard Ratio z-value = 2.153,  $p$ -value = 0.03134). In contrast,  
260 when *D. nigrodunni* females are crossed to *D. arawakana* males, females have significantly decreased  
261 survival compared to conspecific crosses and virgin females (Figure 2, Cox Hazard Ratio z-value = -3.360,  
262  $p$ -value = 0.00078), the same cross which also produced only female offspring (Figure 1).

263 **Figure 2: Survival of females postmating.** Survival probability of females for each species used in each  
264 cross, compared to virgin female survival. Females are separated by species, and grouped as virgins,  
265 conspecific crossed (crossed to own species), heterospecific crossed (crossed to a different species). In the  
266 case of heterospecific crosses, *D. arawakana* is only crossed to *D. nigrodunni* and *D. dunni* is only crossed  
267 to *D. similis*.



268

269 As the *D. arawakana* strain examined was infected with *Wolbachia* and the *D. nigrodunni* was not,  
270 we cured all strains of bacteria using tetracycline-hydrochloride and repeated the survival assays. All  
271 females in this second block have reduced survival compared to the original survival assay, (Supplementary  
272 Figure 2, Cox Hazard Ratio z-value = -5.654,  $p$ -value = 1.56e-08), suggesting a difference in the two  
273 experiments that could be attributed to Tetracycline-Hydrochloride exposure. In the tetracycline exposed  
274 flies, we again find reduced survival in the *D. nigrodunni* ♀ x *D. arawakana* ♂ cross compared to the  
275 conspecific crosses (Supplementary Figure 3, Cox Hazard Ratio z-value = -3.815,  $p$ -value = 0.000136).


276 *The insemination reaction may be associated with the reduced female survival and reduced number of*  
277 *hybrid offspring*

278 In several other hybrid crosses between species in the *Drosophila* subgenus of *Drosophila*, other studies  
279 have highlighted a reaction between the seminal fluid of one species with the environment of the  
280 reproductive tract in the other species, called the insemination reaction (PATTERSON 1947; GRANT 1983;  
281 MARKOW AND ANKNEY 1988). In the hours following mating, the reproductive tract swells, and, in some  
282 cases, proteins in the seminal fluid cause the formation of a “reaction mass”, a large dark mass which can  
283 burst through the wall of the tract (PATTERSON 1947).

284 Given the reduced survival of *D. nigrodunni* females following mating with *D. arawakana* males  
285 and the reduced number of hybrid offspring, we hypothesized that an incompatibility between the diverged  
286 seminal fluid proteins and the heterospecific reproductive tract could cause an abnormally deleterious  
287 reaction mass which reduces female survival.

288 We established experimental crosses within and between *D. arawakana* and *D. nigrodunni*. Then,  
289 24 and 48 hours after crossing we dissected the females to identify whether sperm was present in the female  
290 reproductive tract (Figure 3A and B), and score for abnormal reproductive tracts consistent with the  
291 insemination reaction (Figure 3C and D). Interestingly, there was no significant differences between the  
292 number of mated females 24 and 48 hours after establishing crosses (Logistic regression: sperm presence  
293 ~ collection date: z-value = 1.285,  $p$ -value = 0.198873), but did score significantly fewer mated females in  
294 heterospecific crosses versus conspecific crosses (Logistic regression: sperm presence ~ cross type: z-value  
295 = -2.948,  $p$ -value = 0.00319). In several mated females when compared to virgin females, we find a swelling  
296 of the reproductive tract consistent with the insemination reaction (Figure 3C). Exclusively in several  
297 heterospecifically crossed females, we also saw damaged and destroyed reproductive tracts (Figure 3D).  
298 We find a significant excess of swollen/damaged tracts in heterospecifically mated *D. nigrodunni* compared  
299 to conspecific controls (Figure 3E, Logistic regression: swollen tract ~ *D. nigrodunni* cross type: z-value =  
300 4.723,  $p$ -value = 2.32e-06). While we do find swollen tracts in *D. arawakana* females we find no difference  
301 between heterospecific and conspecific females (Figure 3E, Logistic regression: swollen tract ~ *D.*  
302 *arawakana* cross type: z-value = 0.493,  $p$ -value = 0.622162).

303 **Figure 3: Abnormal insemination reactions may be responsible for reproductive isolation. A-C.**  
 304 Dissections showing differing conditions of the female reproductive tract. When applicable, arrows label  
 305 the start and end of same section of the oviduct between dissections. Ovipositors and scale bar also shown  
 306 for scale. **A.** Normal oviduct containing sperm. **B.** Normal oviduct with no sperm. **C.** Swollen oviduct  
 307 containing sperm. **D.** Ruptured oviduct in sample with reaction mass-like phenotype. **E.** Plots summarizing  
 308 rate of mating, and the effect of mating on the reproductive tract in crosses within and between *D.*  
 309 *arawakana* and *D. nigrodunni*. Plots are separated by the male involved in the cross (columns) and the  
 310 female involved in the cross (rows), with plots scoring the number of females with sperm in the reproductive  
 311 tract, and if the tract was normal or swollen/damaged.



312

313 *Genes involved in copulation and immune defense have high rates of divergence between species*


314 We reasoned that these incompatibilities between species could be caused by a divergence in copulation  
315 proteins. Previous work has suggested that females may be susceptible to bad reactions following hybrid  
316 matings due to no protection from the other species accessory gland proteins (MARKOW AND ANKNEY  
317 1988; KNOWLES AND MARKOW 2001). Specifically, that there is an arms race between sexes to  
318 block/unblock the female reproductive tract and that females of other species have not evolved to suppress  
319 these reactions. Based on this, we sought to examine the levels of divergence and identify rapidly evolving  
320 genes between species. We sequenced, assembled and annotated the genomes of each species involved (see  
321 Materials and Methods), producing two high quality genomes with high synteny to each other and to *D.*  
322 *innubila* (Supplementary Tables 1 & 4 and Supplementary Figure 4A), and two assemblies derived from  
323 these *de novo* assemblies. The two *de novo* assemblies had high BUSCO scores (*D. dunni* scored 93.9%:  
324 2627 complete, 79 fragmented and 93 missing out of 2799 total; *D. nigrodunni* scored 97.3%: 2721  
325 complete, 37 fragmented and 41 missing out of 2799 total). Consistent with previous findings we find no  
326 large structural rearrangements between genomes, and no evidence of fixed inversions between species in  
327 the *dunni* group (HEED 1962; CORDEIRO *et al.* 2014), though we do find several inversions between the  
328 next closest whole genome available, *D. innubila* on Muller elements B, C and D (*D. nigrodunni* shown in  
329 Supplementary Figure 4B). We annotated the *dunni* group genomes using a transcriptome from *D. innubila*  
330 in MAKER (HOLT AND YANDELL 2011) and found between 10752 and 11581 genes in each species, most  
331 of which show orthology to previously identified genes in *D. virilis*, *D. melanogaster* or *D. innubila*  
332 (Supplementary Table 5) (HILL *et al.* 2019).

333 When examining the repetitive content of each species, we see an expansion of Helitrons and LTRs  
334 along the *D. dunni/D. similis* branch, resulting in higher TE content in these two species compared to *D.*  
335 *nigrodunni/D. arawakana* (Supplementary Figure 5). We also find species-specific expansions of satellites,  
336 particularly in *D. arawakana* and *D. nigrodunni*, where ~4% of the genome appears to be satellite sequences  
337 exclusive to that species (Supplementary Figure 5).

338 We identified orthologous genes across species using BLAST (ALTSCHUL *et al.* 1990) with *D.*  
339 *innubila* as an outgroup when possible. For each group of orthologous genes, we identified the proportion  
340 of synonymous (dS) substitutions and amino acid changing, nonsynonymous substitutions (dN) (per  
341 possible synonymous or nonsynonymous substitution, respectively) occurring on each branch of the  
342 phylogeny using codeML (branch-based approach, model 0) (YANG 2007). We also estimated these  
343 substitution rates across the entire *dunni* group phylogeny (sites-based approach, model 7 & 8) (YANG  
344 2007). This allowed us to calculate dN/dS to identify genes showing signatures of rapid or unconstrained  
345 evolution on any branch of the phylogeny, or across the entire tree. For the dN/dS estimates on each branch,  
346 we identified genes in the upper 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile for dN/dS in windows of 0.01 dS. dN/dS in *D. nigrodunni*

347 is significantly correlated with dN/dS in *D. arawakana* (Figure 2B), as well as in all other pairwise species  
348 comparisons (Supplementary Table 6, Pearson's correlation coefficient = 0.844,  $t = 7.3774$ ,  $df = 7569$ ,  $p$ -  
349 value =  $1.786e-13$ ), and that similar proteins are rapidly evolving across the entire group. Copulation  
350 proteins (specifically seminal fluid proteins) are overrepresented among the most rapidly evolving genes  
351 on every branch of the *dunni* group phylogeny (Supplementary Table 6,  $p$ -value < 0.05 after multiple testing  
352 correction). This is consistent with rapid evolution occurring in genes involved in the reproductive conflict  
353 between the sexes (Figure 4) (HAERTY *et al.* 2007). While not significant outliers, we also find that immune  
354 recognition proteins, antiviral RNA and piRNA pathways are also rapidly evolving in some species,  
355 consistent with arms races between the species and their parasites (Supplementary Table 6).

356 **Figure 4:** Rates of evolution across the *Drosophila dunni* phylogeny, showing non-synonymous divergence  
357 versus synonymous divergence across **A.** the whole phylogeny and **B.** comparing the proportion of non-  
358 synonymous to synonymous divergence between *D. nigrodunni* and *D. arawakana*. JAK-STAT, Toll and  
359 seminal fluid proteins are highlighted due to their enrichments in one or the other species.




361 Rapidly evolving genes may provide clues into the selective forces acting on species since their  
362 divergence. For the main species pairs of interest (e.g. *D. nigrodunni* and *D. arawakana*) we identified  
363 genes in the upper 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile for windows of dN/dS in the other species, to find genes rapidly evolving  
364 in one species but not the other (Figure 2B). As expected, copulation-associated proteins were in the upper  
365 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentile for both species, while genes in the Toll immune pathway are rapidly evolving in *D*  
366 *arawakana* but not *D. nigrodunni*, conversely the JAK-STAT immune pathway is rapidly evolving in *D*  
367 *nigrodunni* but not *D. arawakana* (Supplementary Table 6, Figure 4B). These results suggest each species

368 may differ in their primary pathogen, resulting in context dependent immune evolution, as seen elsewhere  
369 in the *Drosophila* subgenus (OBBARD *et al.* 2009; HILL *et al.* 2019).

370 We sought to confirm the rapid evolution of reproductive pathways and immune pathways after  
371 controlling for the background rate of evolution. We found the difference between dN/dS for each immune  
372 and reproductive gene and genes at neighboring loci on the chromosome (within 100kbp), of similar levels  
373 of divergence ( $\pm 0.01$  dS). We find significantly elevated rates of evolution of antiviral genes, insemination  
374 genes and seminal fluid proteins across the entire phylogeny (Figure 5, one-sided T-test  $\mu = 0$ ,  $p$ -value =  
375 0.0434). We also find a significant correlation between differences in *D. arawakana* and *D. nigrodunni* for  
376 antiviral genes (Pearson's correlation = 0.795,  $t$ -value = 2.163,  $p$ -value = 0.0288), immune recognition  
377 genes (Pearson's correlation = 0.877,  $t$ -value = 5.791,  $p$ -value = 0.000175) and piRNA genes (Pearson's  
378 correlation = 0.659,  $t$ -value = 3.506,  $p$ -value = 0.00292). The highest average rate of evolution occurred  
379 seminal fluid proteins on the *D. nigrodunni* and *D. arawakana* branches (Figure 5, one-sided T-test,  $\mu =$   
380 0,  $p$ -value  $< 0.05$ ). Consistent with previous results we find elevated rates of evolution of the Toll signaling  
381 pathway in *D. arawakana*, and JAK-STAT in *D. nigrodunni*. Interestingly, when comparing the specific  
382 genes rapidly evolving between *D. nigrodunni* and *D. arawakana*, the specific insemination and seminal  
383 fluid genes are mostly evolving at different rates between species (Figure 5), while the other rapidly  
384 evolving genes are consistent between species (Figure 4B). Consistent with this, we find no correlation  
385 between measures between *D. arawakana* and *D. nigrodunni* in copulation (Pearson's correlation = 0.187,  
386  $t$ -value = 1.417,  $p$ -value = 0.162), seminal fluid proteins (Pearson's correlation = 0.0341,  $t$ -value = 0.224,  
387  $p$ -value = 0.823), JAK-STAT (Pearson's correlation = 0.185,  $t$ -value = 0.625,  $p$ -value = 0.545) or Toll-  
388 signaling proteins (Pearson's correlation = 0.450,  $t$ -value = 1.334,  $p$ -value = 0.224). This could suggest a  
389 difference in importance of insemination proteins between the species and could even suggest a functional  
390 divergence (HAERTY *et al.* 2007).

391 **Figure 5:** Difference of dN/dS between focal genes in specific functional categories and their nearby  
392 background genes. We find different insemination proteins and seminal fluid proteins are rapidly evolving  
393 between *D. nigrodunni* and *D. arawakana*. A selection of genes in each category are labelled by name in  
394 each plot. Plots are labelled with a \* if we find a positive correlation between the two axes ( $p$ -value  $< 0.05$ ).



395

396 Using orthology to *D. innubila*, we also identified duplications relative to these two species in each  
 397 *dunni* group genome, and specific to each species. Consistent with the estimates in rates of evolution, we  
 398 find enrichments of duplications in cell motility and copulation across the entire phylogeny (Supplementary  
 399 Figure 6, Supplementary Table 7). We also find enrichments of duplications in Toll signaling genes in *D.*  
 400 *arawakana* ( $p$ -value = 0.000569, enrichment = 5.44). Overall this suggests that the pathways showing  
 401 elevated levels of nucleotide divergence (namely Toll and Copulation genes) also have more copy number  
 402 variation between species than expected.

## 403 Discussion

404 *Drosophila* species have served as prominent models in genetics research, including in understanding the  
 405 divergence between populations and the evolution of species. This is facilitated by the extensive genetic  
 406 tools available in the species group to identify the genetic basis of reproductive isolation, both prezygotic  
 407 and postzygotic. Many islands contain endemic species of *Drosophila* with differing levels of isolation. For  
 408 example, the island endemics in the *Drosophila simulans* complex (CABOT *et al.* 1994; KLIMAN *et al.* 2000;  
 409 MATUTE AND AYROLES 2014), with *D. mauritiana*, *D. simulans* and *D. sechellia* have served as a rich  
 410 system for understanding reproductive isolation (CABOT *et al.* 1994; KLIMAN *et al.* 2000). Like the  
 411 *Drosophila simulans* complex, the *Drosophila dunni* species subgroup has radiated across a chain of islands  
 412 (HEED 1962), though with easier to define species relationships than is seen in the *simulans* subcomplex  
 413 (CABOT *et al.* 1994; KLIMAN *et al.* 2000; MATUTE *et al.* 2014). Due to the recent radiation of this group,



414 many species pairs in the *dunni* subgroup produce offspring (STALKER AND STREISINGER 1953; HEED  
415 1962), some of which are fertile, and so provide a potentially useful model system for dissecting the genetics  
416 of reproductive isolation.

417 Here, we assessed the extent of hybrid incompatibilities between species of the *dunni* subgroup,  
418 focusing on post-mating-prezygotic incompatibilities. We then sequenced and assembled the species  
419 genomes to identify highly divergent and rapidly evolving genes. Between *D. nigrodunni* and *D.*  
420 *arawakana*, we find elevated divergence of several immune system pathways, as well as divergence in  
421 genes involved in copulation. This divergence fits with the hybrid male inviability between these two  
422 species, as well as the reduced survival of females following insemination by a heterospecific male.  
423 Consistent with the divergence in the seminal fluid proteins, we find evidence of an insemination reaction-  
424 like swelling of the reproductive tract (KNOWLES AND MARKOW 2001), and a decrease in hybrid mating  
425 compared to within species.

426 Strangely, in this study most of the striking differences appear when comparing *D. nigrodunni* and  
427 *D. arawakana* (Figures 1-5). This pair is slightly less diverged than other pairings within the group  
428 (Supplementary Figure 1) and are allopatrically separated (HEED 1962; WILDER AND HOLLOCHER 2003),  
429 allowing for the neutral accumulation of substitutions with a reduced chance of introgression or  
430 reinforcement (COYNE AND ORR 1989; COYNE AND ORR 2004). Due to this reduced divergence and  
431 reduced incidence of incompatibilities (ORR 1995; WELCH 2004), we may have caught this species pair at  
432 the opportune time where these hybrid incompatible effects are visible, while other species pairs are too far  
433 diverged (Figure 1).

434 The functional annotation of the more diverged genes may also provide us with clues as to how  
435 these species are diverging. As we find premating- behavior proteins are divergent between *D. arawakana*  
436 and *D. nigrodunni*, this may result in a divergence in premating behavior, resulting in the reduced rate of  
437 hybrid matings scored (Figure 3). We also see no difference in the proportion of hybrid matings after 24  
438 hours and 48 hours, suggesting that in these cases, if a female has rejected all males, she may not change  
439 her mind later (COYNE AND ORR 2004; GOURBIÈRE AND MALLETT 2010; TURISSINI *et al.* 2017).  
440 Hybridization between island-endemic flies separated by ~500 kilometers of ocean may be unlikely  
441 (COYNE *et al.* 1982), but selection against hybridization between our focal species and other *dunni* group  
442 species may have led to the evolution of reinforcement against heterospecific mating (GOURBIÈRE AND  
443 MALLETT 2010; TURISSINI *et al.* 2017). We also find seminal fluid and copulation proteins are rapidly  
444 diverging between species (Figure 2) and find an increased incidence of swollen and deformed reproductive  
445 tracts, consistent with an insemination reaction-like effect and a toxic incompatibility between the SFPs  
446 and their environment (Figures 2 and 3) (MARKOW AND ANKNEY 1988; KNOWLES AND MARKOW 2001).  
447 In fact, studies in other species have also identified post-mating-prezygotic incompatibilities to be a driver

448 of isolation between species, even in cases with gene flow (GAVRILETS AND WAXMAN 2002; GAVRILETS  
449 2003; LARSON *et al.* 2012; LARSON *et al.* 2013; AHMED-BRAIMAH 2016; TURISSINI *et al.* 2017). A recent  
450 study identified the upregulation of the JAK-STAT pathway (a stress response pathway) in *Drosophila*  
451 females following heterospecific mating, likely due to the negative effects of the accessory gland proteins  
452 (AHMED-BRAIMAH *et al.* 2020). The rapid evolution of JAK-STAT proteins in *D. nigrodunni* could also be  
453 due to this species requiring a well-adapted stress response pathway, given its negative reaction to  
454 heterospecific matings (Figures 1-3).

455 Several of the functional gene categories identified in this study as highly divergent between  
456 species are also promising regions for future study, particularly when focusing on immune evolution. Our  
457 findings are consistent with other studies that find immune proteins are more rapidly evolving than  
458 background genes (SACKTON *et al.* 2007; OBBARD *et al.* 2009; SHULTZ AND SACKTON 2019), consistent  
459 with an arms-race between the host and its pathogens. However, in the species studied here, we find several  
460 cases of species-specific rapid evolution of an immune pathway, such as the rapid evolution of JAK-STAT  
461 in *D. nigrodunni* (Figures 4 and 5). As immune pathways are constantly evolving in response to their  
462 pathogens, this could be explained by differences in immune pathogens in this species group (SACKTON *et*  
463 *al.* 2007; UNCKLESS *et al.* 2016; HILL *et al.* 2019). Hypothetically, the lack of any substantive natural Gram-  
464 Negative bacterial pathogens in *D. dunni* would result in a lack of divergence in the IMD pathway, the  
465 immune pathway associated with the resisting Gram-Negative bacteria. While a lack of fungal or Gram-  
466 Positive bacterial pathogens in *D. nigrodunni* could result in the lack of evolution of the Toll pathway, but  
467 rampant evolution in *D. arawakana* (Figures 4 and 5).

468 The repetitive content also appears to be diverging rapidly across this species complex  
469 (Supplementary Figure 5). This is commonly seen between species, given the elevated mutation  
470 rate/transposition of selfish factors compared to the rest of the genome (KOFLENER *et al.* 2012; KOFLENER *et al.*  
471 2015; ADRION *et al.* 2019), and has been implicated in the formation of hybrid incompatibilities for several  
472 species (SATYAKI *et al.* 2014). Consistent with this we find several TE families unique to specific species  
473 in the *dunni* complex. However, we did not find a significant excess of dysgenic ovaries in hybrid females  
474 compared to normal females (Fisher's exact test  $p$ -value > 0.05 for all cases). Several cases of hybrid  
475 incompatibilities caused by differences in TE content results in sterility caused by maternally inherited  
476 factors over paternally inherited (as is usually seen). This may be due to the absence of maternally loaded  
477 silencing RNAs against specific TEs (BINGHAM *et al.* 1982; ARAVIN *et al.* 2007; BRENNECKE *et al.* 2008).  
478 If this were the case, we would expect the hybrid sterility to be in the opposite direction to what we observe,  
479 with sterile females (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 5) (KIDWELL *et al.* 1977), and so do not expect the  
480 hybrid incompatibilities seen here to be caused by repetitive content. However, this is a simplistic view of  
481 the effects of transposon activity on hybrid fertility, given the complex hybrid dysgenesis cases seen in *D.*

482 *virilis* (PETROV et al. 1995; EVGEN'EV et al. 1997; ERWIN et al. 2015), and even the complex cases of  
483 tolerance to dysgenesis seen in the supposedly simple case in *D. melanogaster* (KELLEHER et al. 2018), so  
484 may require further study to fully understand if TEs play a role in the divergence of the *dunni* complex.

485 Overall, our findings suggest that the rapid divergence of reproductive genes has led to  
486 incompatibilities between species in the *dunni* group, including inviable male offspring and the  
487 insemination reaction associated with reduced female survival. We also find multiple areas for further  
488 investigation in the *D. dunni* group, either in immune evolution or continuing to investigate the speciation  
489 in this species group, suggesting promise in the future of research for this group.

## 490 References

- 491 Adrion, J. R., D. J. Begun and M. W. Hahn, 2019 Patterns of transposable element variation and clinality  
492 in *Drosophila*. *Mol Ecol* 28: 1523-1536.
- 493 Ahmed-Braimah, Y., 2016 Multiple Genes Cause Postmating Prezygotic Reproductive Isolation in the  
494 *Drosophila virilis* Group. *G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics* 6: 4067-4076.
- 495 Ahmed-Braimah, Y. H., M. F. Wolfner and A. G. Clark, 2020 Differences in post-mating transcriptional  
496 responses between conspecific and heterospecific matings in *Drosophila*. *Biorxiv*.
- 497 Altschul, S. F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers and D. J. Lipman, 1990 Basic local alignment search tool.  
498 *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215: 403-410.
- 499 Andres, J. A., L. S. Maroja and R. G. Harrison, 2008 Searching for candidate speciation genes using a  
500 proteomic approach: seminal proteins in field crickets. *Proc Biol Sci* 275: 1975-1983.
- 501 Aravin, A. A., G. J. Hannon and J. Brennecke, 2007 The piwi-piRNA pathway provides an adaptive defense  
502 in the transposon arms race. *Science* 318: 761-764.
- 503 Bingham, P. M., M. G. Kidwell and G. M. Rubin, 1982 The molecular basis of P-M hybrid dysgenesis: the  
504 role of the *P*-element, a P-strain-specific transposon family. *Cell* 29: 995-1004.
- 505 Brekke, T. D., and J. M. Good, 2014 Parent-of-origin growth effects and the evolution of hybrid inviability  
506 in dwarf hamsters. *Evolution* 68: 3134-3148.
- 507 Brennecke, J., C. D. Malone, A. A. Aravin, R. Sachidanandam, A. Stark *et al.*, 2008 An epigenetic role for  
508 maternally inherited piRNAs in transposon silencing. *Science* 322: 1387-1392.
- 509 Broad-Institute, 2017 Picard, pp., <http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard>.
- 510 Buffalo, V., 2018 *Scythe*.
- 511 Cabot, L., A. W. Davis, N. A. Johnson and C.-i. Wu, 1994 Genetics of reproductive isolation in the  
512 *Drosophila simulans* clade: complex epistasis underlying hybrid male sterility. *Genetics* 137: 175-  
513 189.
- 514 Cenzi De Ré, F., E. L. S. Loreto and L. J. Robe, 2010 Gene and species trees reveal mitochondrial and  
515 nuclear discordance in the *Drosophila cardini* group (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Invertebrate*  
516 *Biology* 129: 353-367.
- 517 Chapman, T., J. Hutchings and L. Partridge, 1993 No reduction in the cost of mating for *Drosophila*  
518 *melanogaster* females mating with spermless males. *Proceedings R. Soc.* 253: 211-217.
- 519 Cordeiro, J., D. C. De Toni, G. de Souza da Silva and V. L. da Silva Valente, 2014 Chromosomal evolution  
520 in the *Drosophila cardini* group (Diptera: Drosophilidae): photomaps and inversion analysis.  
521 *Genetica* 142: 461-472.
- 522 Coyne, J., and H. A. Orr, 2004 *Speciation*.
- 523 Coyne, J. A., I. A. Boussy, T. Prout, S. H. Bryant, J. S. Jones *et al.*, 1982 Long Distance Migration of  
524 *Drosophila*. *The American Naturalist* 11: 589-595.
- 525 Coyne, J. A., and H. A. Orr, 1989 Patterns of speciation in *Drosophila*. *Evolution* 43: 362-381.

- 526 Darling, A. C. E., B. Mau, F. R. Blattner and N. T. Perna, 2004 Mauve : Multiple Alignment of Conserved  
527 Genomic Sequence With Rearrangements Mauve : Multiple Alignment of Conserved Genomic  
528 Sequence With Rearrangements. *Genome Res* 14: 1394-1403.
- 529 DePristo, M. A., E. Banks, R. Poplin, K. V. Garimella, J. R. Maguire *et al.*, 2011 A framework for variation  
530 discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nature genetics* 43: 491-  
531 498.
- 532 Eden, E., R. Navon, I. Steinfeld, D. Lipson and Z. Yakhini, 2009 GOrilla: A tool for discovery and  
533 visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10: 1-7.
- 534 Erwin, A. A., M. A. Galdos, M. L. Wickersheim, C. C. Harrison, K. D. Marr *et al.*, 2015 piRNAs Are  
535 Associated with Diverse Transgenerational Effects on Gene and Transposon Expression in a  
536 Hybrid Dysgenic Syndrome of *D. virilis*. *PLoS Genetics* 11: 1-33.
- 537 Evgen'ev, M. B., H. Zelentsova, N. Shostak, M. Kozitsina, V. Barskyi *et al.*, 1997 Penelope , a new family  
538 of transposable elements and its possible role in hybrid dysgenesis in *Drosophila virilis*.  
539 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 94: 196-201.
- 540 Gavrilets, S., 2003 Perspective: models of speciation: what have we learned in 40 years? *Evolution;*  
541 *international journal of organic evolution* 57: 2197-2215.
- 542 Gavrilets, S., and D. Waxman, 2002 Sympatric speciation by sexual conflict. *PNAS* 16: 10533–10538.
- 543 Glor, R. E., J. B. Losos and A. Larson, 2005 Out of Cuba: overwater dispersal and speciation among lizards  
544 in the *Anolis carolinensis* subgroup. *Mol Ecol* 14: 2419-2432.
- 545 Goubert, C., L. Modolo, C. Vieira, C. V. Moro, P. Mavingui *et al.*, 2015 De novo assembly and annotation  
546 of the Asian tiger mosquito (*Aedes albopictus*) repeatome with dnaPipeTE from raw genomic reads  
547 and comparative analysis with the yellow fever mosquito (*Aedes aegypti*). *Genome Biology and*  
548 *Evolution* 7: 1192-1205.
- 549 Gourbière, S., and J. Mallet, 2010 Are species real? The shape of the species boundary with exponential  
550 failure, reinforcement, and the "missing snowball". *Evolution; international journal of organic*  
551 *evolution* 64: 1-24.
- 552 Gramates, L. S., S. J. Marygold, G. Dos Santos, J. M. Urbano, G. Antonazzo *et al.*, 2017 FlyBase at 25:  
553 Looking to the future. *Nucleic Acids Research* 45: D663-D671.
- 554 Grant, B., 1983 On the Relationship Between Average Copulation Duration and Insemination Reaction in  
555 the Genus *Drosophila*. *Evolution* 37: 854-856.
- 556 Guindon, S., J.-F. Dufayard, V. Lefort, M. Anisimova, W. Hordijk *et al.*, 2010 New algorithms and methods  
557 to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0.  
558 *Systematic biology* 59: 307-321.
- 559 Haerty, W., S. Jagadeeshan, R. J. Kulathinal, A. Wong, K. Ravi Ram *et al.*, 2007 Evolution in the fast lane:  
560 rapidly evolving sex-related genes in *Drosophila*. *Genetics* 177: 1321-1335.
- 561 Haldane, J., 1922 Sex ratio and unisexual sterility in animal hybrids. *J Genet* 12: 101-109.
- 562 Hamilton, P. T., J. S. Leong, B. F. Koop and S. J. Perlman, 2014 Transcriptional responses in a *Drosophila*  
563 defensive symbiosis. *Molecular Ecology* 23: 1558-1570.
- 564 Heed, W. B., 1962 IX. Genetic characteristics of Island Populations, pp. 173-206 in *Univ Texas Publ.*
- 565 Hill, T., B. Koseva and R. L. Unckless, 2019 The genome of *Drosophila innubila* reveals lineage-specific  
566 patterns of selection in immune genes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*: 1-36.
- 567 Hill, T., and R. L. Unckless, 2019 A Deep Learning Approach for Detecting Copy Number Variation in  
568 Next-Generation Sequencing Data. *G3* 9.
- 569 Hollocher, H., J. L. Hatcher and E. G. Dyreson, 2000 Evolution of abdominal pigmentation differences  
570 across species in the *Drosophila dunnii* subgroup. *Evolution* 54: 2046-2056.
- 571 Holt, C., and M. Yandell, 2011 MAKER2 : an annotation pipeline and genome- database management tool  
572 for second- generation genome projects. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12: 491.
- 573 Howard, D. J., J. L. Marshall, D. D. Hampton, S. C. Britch, M. L. Draney *et al.*, 2002 The genetics of  
574 reproductive isolation: a retrospective and prospective look with comments on ground crickets. *The*  
575 *American naturalist* 159 Suppl: S8-S21.

- 576 Jain, M., H. E. Olsen, B. Paten and M. Akeson, 2016 The Oxford Nanopore MinION: Delivery of nanopore  
577 sequencing to the genomics community. *Genome Biology* 17: 1-11.
- 578 Johnson, A. D., R. E. Handsaker, S. L. Pulit, M. M. Nizzari, C. J. O'Donnell *et al.*, 2008 SNAP: a web-  
579 based tool for identification and annotation of proxy SNPs using HapMap. *Bioinformatics* 24:  
580 2938-2939.
- 581 Joshi, N., and J. Fass, 2011 Sickle: A sliding window, adaptive, quality-based trimming tool for fastQ files.  
582 1.33.
- 583 Kassambara, A., M. Kosinski and P. Biecek, 2017 survminer: Drawing Survival Curves using 'ggplot2'. R  
584 package version 0.3 1.
- 585 Katoh, K., K. Misawa, K.-i. Kuma and T. Miyata, 2002 MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple  
586 sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic acids research* 30: 3059-3066.
- 587 Kelleher, E. S., J. Jaweria, U. Akoma, L. Ortega and W. Tang, 2018 QTL mapping of natural variation  
588 reveals that the developmental regulator bruno reduces tolerance to P-element transposition in the  
589 *Drosophila* female germline. *PLoS Biol* 16: e2006040.
- 590 Kidwell, J. F., M. G. Kidwell and J. A. Sved, 1977 Hybrid dysgenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster*: A  
591 syndrome of aberrant traits including mutation, sterility, and male recombination. *Genetics* 86:  
592 813-833.
- 593 Kitano, J., J. A. Ross, S. Mori, M. Kume, F. C. Jones *et al.*, 2009 A role for a neo-sex chromosome in  
594 stickleback speciation. *Nature* 461: 1079-1083.
- 595 Kitts, P. A., D. M. Church, F. Thibaud-Nissen, J. Choi, V. Hem *et al.*, 2016 Assembly: a resource for  
596 assembled genomes at NCBI. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44: D73-80.
- 597 Kliman, R. M., P. Andolfatto, J. A. Coyne, F. Depaulis, M. Kreitman *et al.*, 2000 The population genetics  
598 of the origin and divergence of the *Drosophila simulans* complex species. *Genetics* 156: 1913-  
599 1931.
- 600 Knowles, L. L., and T. A. Markow, 2001 Sexually antagonistic coevolution of a postmating-prezygotic  
601 reproductive character in desert *Drosophila*. *PNAS* 98.
- 602 Kofler, R., A. J. Betancourt and C. Schlötterer, 2012 Sequencing of pooled DNA Samples ( Pool-Seq )  
603 uncovers complex dynamics of transposable element insertions in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PloS*  
604 *Genetics* 8: 1-16.
- 605 Kofler, R., V. Nolte and C. Schlötterer, 2015 Tempo and mode of transposable element activity in  
606 *Drosophila*. *PLoS Genet* 11: e1005406.
- 607 Larson, E. L., J. A. Andres, S. M. Bogdanowicz and R. G. Harrison, 2013 Differential introgression in a  
608 mosaic hybrid zone reveals candidate barrier genes. *Evolution* 67: 3653-3661.
- 609 Larson, E. L., J. A. Andres and R. G. Harrison, 2012 Influence of the male ejaculate on post-mating  
610 prezygotic barriers in field crickets. *PLoS One* 7: e46202.
- 611 Le, S. Q., and O. Gascuel, 2008 An improved general amino acid replacement matrix. *Molecular biology*  
612 *and evolution* 25: 1307-1320.
- 613 Li, H., 2016 Minimap and miniasm: fast mapping and de novo assembly for noisy long sequences.  
614 *Bioinformatics* 32: 2103-2110.
- 615 Li, H., and R. Durbin, 2009 Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.  
616 *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 25: 1754-1760.
- 617 Li, H., B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan *et al.*, 2009 The sequence alignment/map format and  
618 SAMtools. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 25: 2078-2079.
- 619 Liu, Y., and Y. Yang, 2013 Quick-merge sort algorithm based on multi-core linux, pp. 1578-1583 in  
620 *Proceedings 2013 International Conference on Mechatronic Sciences, Electric Engineering and*  
621 *Computer (MEC)*. IEEE.
- 622 Löytynoja, A., 2014 Phylogeny-aware alignment with PRANK, pp. 155-170 in *Multiple Sequence*  
623 *Alignment Methods*, edited by D. J. Russell. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ.
- 624 Markow, T. A., and P. F. Ankney, 1988 Insemination Reaction in *Drosophila*: Found in Species Whose  
625 Males Contribute Material to Oocytes Before Fertilization. *Evolution* 42: 1097-1101.

- 626 Matute, D. R., and J. F. Ayroles, 2014 Hybridization occurs between *Drosophila simulans* and *D. sechellia*  
627 in the Seychelles archipelago. *Journal of evolutionary biology* 27: 1057-1068.
- 628 Matute, D. R., I. a. Butler, D. a. Turissini and J. A. Coyne, 2010 A test of the snowball theory for the rate  
629 of evolution of hybrid incompatibilities. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 329: 1518-1521.
- 630 Matute, D. R., A. A. Comeault, E. Earley, A. Serrato-Capuchina, D. Peede *et al.*, 2020 Rapid and  
631 Predictable Evolution of Admixed Populations Between Two *Drosophila* Species  
632 Pairs. *Genetics* 214: 211-230.
- 633 Matute, D. R., J. Gavin-Smyth and G. Liu, 2014 Variable post-zygotic isolation in *Drosophila*  
634 *melanogaster*/*D. simulans* hybrids. *Journal of evolutionary biology* 27: 1691-1705.
- 635 McKenna, A., M. Hanna, E. Banks, A. Sivachenko, K. Cibulskis *et al.*, 2010 The Genome Analysis Toolkit:  
636 A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Proceedings of the*  
637 *International Conference on Intellectual Capital, Knowledge Management & Organizational*  
638 *Learning* 20: 1297-1303.
- 639 McKinnon, J. S., and H. D. Rundle, 2002 Speciation in nature: the threespine stickleback model systems.  
640 *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 17: 480 - 488.
- 641 Mendes, F., and M. W. Hahn, 2016 Gene tree discordance causes apparent substitution rate variation.  
642 *Systematic Biology* 65: 711-721.
- 643 Miller, W. J., L. Ehrman and D. Schneider, 2010 Infectious speciation revisited: Impact of symbiont-  
644 depletion on female fitness and mating behavior of *Drosophila paulistorum*. *PLoS Pathogens* 6:  
645 e1001214.
- 646 Moyle, L. C., and T. Nakazato, 2010 Hybrid incompatibility "snowballs" between *Solanum* species.  
647 *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 329: 1521-1523.
- 648 Narasimhan, V., P. Danecek, A. Scally, Y. Xue, C. Tyler-Smith *et al.*, 2016 BCFtools/RoH: A hidden  
649 Markov model approach for detecting autozygosity from next-generation sequencing data.  
650 *Bioinformatics* 32: 1749-1751.
- 651 Noor, M. a. F., D. a. Garfield, S. W. Schaeffer and C. a. Machado, 2007 Divergence between the *Drosophila*  
652 *pseudoobscura* and *D. persimilis* genome sequences in relation to chromosomal inversions.  
653 *Genetics* 177: 1417-1428.
- 654 Obbard, D. J., J. J. Welch, K. W. Kim and F. M. Jiggins, 2009 Quantifying adaptive evolution in the  
655 *Drosophila* immune system. *PLoS Genetics* 5: e1000698.
- 656 Orr, H. A., 1995 Population genetics of speciation: the evolution of hybrid incompatibilities. *Genetics* 139:  
657 1805-1813.
- 658 Orr, H. A., 2004 Dobzhansky, Bateson, and the genetics of speciation. *Genetics* 168: 1097-1104.
- 659 Orr, H. A., 2013 Haldane's Rule. 28: 195-218.
- 660 Orr, H. A., L. H. Orr and H. A. Orri, 2013 Waiting for speciation : The effect of population subdivision on  
661 the time to speciation. *Evolution* 50: 1742-1749.
- 662 Palmieri, N., C. Kosiol and C. Schlötterer, 2014 The life cycle of *Drosophila* orphan genes. *eLife* 3: 1-21.
- 663 Patterson, J. T., 1947 The insemination reaction and its bearing on the problem of speciation in the mulleri  
664 subgroup. *Univ Texas Publ* 4720: 41-77.
- 665 Patterson, J. T., 1954 *Studies in the genetics of Drosophila*, pp.
- 666 Payseur, B. A., and L. H. Rieseberg, 2016 A genomic perspective on hybridization and speciation. *Mol*  
667 *Ecol* 25: 2337-2360.
- 668 Petrov, D. a., J. L. Schutzman, D. L. Hartl and E. R. Lozovskaya, 1995 Diverse transposable elements are  
669 mobilized in hybrid dysgenesis in *Drosophila virilis*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*  
670 *Sciences of the United States of America* 92: 8050-8054.
- 671 Presgraves, D. C., 2007 Speciation genetics: Epistasis, Conflict and the Origin of Species. *Current biology*  
672 : CB 17: R125-127.
- 673 Presgraves, D. C., 2010 Speciation genetics: search for the missing snowball. *Current biology* : CB 20:  
674 R1073-1074.
- 675 R-Core-Team, 2013 R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, pp. R Foundation for  
676 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

- 677 Rausch, T., T. Zichner, A. Schlattl, A. M. Stutz, V. Benes *et al.*, 2012 DELLY: structural variant discovery  
678 by integrated paired-end and split-read analysis. *Bioinformatics* 28: i333-i339.
- 679 Ruan, J., and H. Li, 2020 Fast and accurate long-read assembly with wtdbg2. *Nat Methods* 17: 155-158.
- 680 Sackton, T. B., B. P. Lazzaro, T. A. Schlenke, J. D. Evans, D. Hultmark *et al.*, 2007 Dynamic evolution of  
681 the innate immune system in *Drosophila*. *Nature Genetics* 39: 1461-1468.
- 682 Satyaki, P. R., T. N. Cuykendall, K. H. Wei, N. J. Brideau, H. Kwak *et al.*, 2014 The Hmr and Lhr hybrid  
683 incompatibility genes suppress a broad range of heterochromatic repeats. *PLoS Genet* 10:  
684 e1004240.
- 685 Shultz, A., and T. B. Sackton, 2019 Immune genes are hotspots of shared positive selection across birds  
686 and mammals. *eLife* 8: e41815.
- 687 Simão, F. A., R. M. Waterhouse, P. Ioannidis, E. V. Kriventseva and E. M. Zdobnov, 2015 BUSCO:  
688 Assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs.  
689 *Bioinformatics* 31: 3210-3212.
- 690 Smit, A. F. A., and R. Hubley, 2008 RepeatModeler Open-1.0.
- 691 Smit, A. F. A., and R. Hubley, 2013-2015 RepeatMasker Open-4.0, pp. RepeatMasker.
- 692 Stalker, H. D., and D. Streisinger, 1953 Taxonomy and Hybridization in the Cardini Group of *Drosophila*.  
693 46: 343-358.
- 694 Thomas, P. D., M. J. Campbell, A. Kejariwal, H. Mi, B. Karlak *et al.*, 2003 PANTHER: a library of protein  
695 families and subfamilies indexed by function. *Genome Res* 13: 2129-2141.
- 696 Turissini, D. A., J. A. McGirr, S. S. Patel, J. R. David and D. R. Matute, 2017 The Rate of Evolution of  
697 Postmating-Prezygotic Reproductive Isolation in *Drosophila*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35:  
698 312-334.
- 699 Unckless, R. L., V. M. Howick and B. P. Lazzaro, 2016 Convergent Balancing Selection on an  
700 Antimicrobial Peptide in *Drosophila*. *Current Biology* 26: 257-262.
- 701 Walker, B. J., T. Abeel, T. Shea, M. Priest, A. Abouelliel *et al.*, 2014 Pilon: An integrated tool for  
702 comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS ONE* 9.
- 703 Welch, J. J., 2004 Accumulating Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibilities: reconciling theory and data.  
704 *Evolution; international journal of organic evolution* 58: 1145-1156.
- 705 Wickham, H., 2009 ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis.
- 706 Wigby, S., and T. Chapman, 2005 Sex peptide causes mating costs in female *Drosophila melanogaster*.  
707 *Curr Biol* 15: 316-321.
- 708 Wilder, J. a., and H. Hollocher, 2003 Recent radiation of endemic Caribbean *Drosophila* of the *dunni*  
709 subgroup inferred from multilocus DNA sequence variation. *Evolution* 57: 2566-2579.
- 710 Yang, Z., 2007 PAML 4: Phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*  
711 24: 1586-1591.
- 712 Zhang, H., P. Meltzer and S. Davis, 2013 RCircos : an R package for Circos 2D track plots.
- 713 Zhou, Q., and D. Bachtrog, 2015 Ancestral Chromatin Configuration Constrains Chromatin Evolution on  
714 Differentiating Sex Chromosomes in *Drosophila*. *PLoS Genetics* 11: 1-21.
- 715 Zhou, Q., H.-m. Zhu, Q.-f. Huang, L. Zhao, G.-j. Zhang *et al.*, 2012 Deciphering neo-sex and B  
716 chromosome evolution by the draft genome of *Drosophila albomicans*. *BMC Genomics* 13: 109.
- 717 Zhou, W., F. Rousset and S. O'Neill, 1998 Phylogeny and PCR-based classification of *Wolbachia* strains  
718 using wsp gene sequences. *Proc. R. Soc.* 265: 509-515.

719

## 720 **Supplementary Information**

721 **Supplementary Table 1:** Table of next-generation sequencing information used in this survey with number  
722 of reads per sample and accession numbers per sample.

723 **Supplementary Table 2:** Average number of offspring from each set of crosses, either heterospecific or  
724 conspecific crosses after 1 week of mating and 1 week of egg laying. Table also shows the sex ratio of  
725 offspring and if offspring of each sex are fertile.

726 **Supplementary Table 3:** Table showing average synonymous divergence between each species pair.

727 **Supplementary Table 4:** Table summarizing genome assembly statistics of each species sequenced and  
728 assembled chromosomes, including number of scaffolds for each chromosome, the length of each  
729 chromosome, and coding and intronic proportions.

730 **Supplementary Table 5:** Summary statistics of genomes sequenced assembled and annotated in this  
731 study, including number of genes, the number of these that have orthologs in *D. virilis* and *D.*  
732 *melanogaster*, as well as statistics regarding size of these genes.

733 **Supplementary Table 6:** Gene categories enriched for high dN/dS (either the upper 95<sup>th</sup> percentile or  
734 dN/dS > 1) across the entire phylogeny and on each species branch, also the upper outliers for *D.*  
735 *nigrodunni* and *D. arawakana* relative to the other species.


736 **Supplementary Table 7:** Gene categories enriched for duplications on each branch of the *D. dunni*  
737 species phylogeny, relative to unduplicated genes.

738 **Supplementary Table 8:** dN/dS statistics calculated using codeML for the entire *dunni* phylogeny and  
739 on each branch.

740




741 **Supplementary Figure 1:** Phylogeny of the *dunni* group relative to other *Drosophila* species. Phylogeny  
742 was calculated using PhyML (GUINDON *et al.* 2010), finding the consensus of 100 genes, with bootstrap  
743 values (the number that match out of 100) shown at nodes.




744

745 **Supplementary Figure 2:** Survival probability of females for each species used in each cross, compared  
746 to virgin female survival. Crosses following curing of the strain with Tetracycline-Hydrochloride. Females  
747 are separated by species, and grouped as virgins, conspecific crossed (crossed to own species),  
748 heterospecific crossed (crossed to a different species). In the case of heterospecific crosses, *D. arawakana*  
749 is only crossed to *D. nigrodunni* and *D. dunni* is only crossed to *D. similis*.




750

751 **Supplementary Figure 3:** Difference in survival for different sets of crosses, comparing between  
752 survival of females before and after curing with Tetracycline-Hydrochloride.




753

754 **Supplementary Figure 4:** Orthologous regions between the *D. nigrodunni* genome, *D. dunni* genome and  
755 *D. innubila* genome. Syntenic regions on the same chromosome (shown as Muller elements, A-F) are  
756 labelled with grey ribbons, while syntenic regions between difference chromosomes are labelled in red.




757

758 **Supplementary Figure 5:** Proportion of each genome made up of repetitive content (colored by  
 759 classification of repetitive content) For simplicity, the satellite category contains Satellites,  
 760 microsatellites, simple repeats tandem repeats and low complexity regions. **A.** Comparison of TE  
 761 annotation between two tools, DNApipeTE and RepeatModeler. **B.** Comparison of TE content across  
 762 species and if that content is shared between species or is unique to one species.



765 **Supplementary Figure 6:** Proportion of gene categories with enrichments of duplications in *D.*  
766 *nigrodunni* (*D. nig*), *D. arawakana* (*D. ara*) or both species. N = number of genes per category.



767